

## **1. Was the Town Hall regarding Allocation Request Forms useful?**

**Very Useful** (*It was so much better to hear testimony from the individuals whose programs are being affected the most by the proposals*)

**Very Useful**

**Very Useful**

**Very Useful** (*It allowed a wider audience to hear the needs of the campus constituencies and to ask for clarification*)

**Very Useful**

**Very Useful**

**Somewhat Useful**

**Somewhat Useful**

**Very Useful**

**Very Useful**

**Somewhat Useful**

**Very Useful**

**Somewhat Useful**

**Not Useful at all** (*Mis-use of time. So far, there is no follow-up regarding the value of time spent, nor indication of the results of the presentations. What purpose did the presentation serve, except that it was a requirement?*)

**Very Useful** (*I hope it gave all AGO members good insight to those requirements that are a priority for program operations*)

**Very Useful**

**Somewhat Useful** (*It was useful to hear about other Departments' needs and priorities, but it felt like lobbying for appropriations, all of which are perceived necessary for each respective Departments' function.*)

**Somewhat Useful**

## **2. Should a Town Hall for Allocation Request Forms be held in 2016?**

### **3. If you answered "Yes" or "No", why?**

**Yes** - It promotes transparency and inclusivity in the allocation process.

**Yes** - Helps to understand each request...not just a statement on a spreadsheet.

**Yes** - It provides a clearer and better picture of what the proposer was really asking for.

**Yes** - It's the democratic way to optimize

**Yes** - It gave the participants a better picture of the needs of the different departments.

**Yes** - Background info was helpful.

**Yes** - Useful to find out what other departments are requesting and why.

**No** - Too much time invested for little return.

**Yes**

**Yes** - It provides perspective on what the needs are around campus. My hope is that folks at the Town Hall would think twice about their request. Light up KCC sign vs. Title IX needs? You be the judge.

**No** - Not the most efficient or effective way to understand the requests. The biggest change, but maybe not the most realistic for reviewers to read each of the requests.

**Yes** - It provides AGO members to 1) make a connection with the requestor since not everyone knows everyone on our large campus, and 2) It allows the AGOs and audience

to gauge the nature of the request and it's importance to the requestor (e.g., did they show up to pitch their request, how well was it presented, are there metrics and stats to support their request and how well do they know their subject matter.)

**Yes** – The Town Hall helps make everyone aware of the multiple needs on campus.

Needs that are more critical than others become apparent during the process.

**No** – Most presenters did not take the event seriously. Many presenters came and left, not hearing all presentations. While it is true that other meetings or teaching assignments may take precedent, the presentations then boil down to “another administrative requirement.”

**Yes** – It allows a good time of question and answer and most proposers kept on task and all parties seemed respectful.

**Yes** – Live input/testimony is much more personable and informative than written ARFs. People in the audience can also ask clarifying questions.

**No** – My memory may evade me (so please disregard my comments if I am wrong), because it was about a month ago, but I don't recall any of the final budget decision-makers there – only the chartered (?) organizations who will make recommendations to those decision makers were present. I'm not sure if that was the purpose of the forum, and maybe I don't fully understand the process correctly, but I think it would help if the decision makers are there to hear the justifications of each individual submitting an ARF

**Yes**- Yes, only if an announcement is made that some funding for the ARFs is available. That way, it makes sense that we are trying to justify why we are making the request.

#### **4. Any suggestions for improvements on the process for TOWN HALL?**

A clearer and better planned timeline would be appreciated

No suggestion to the Town Hall. Just to the form – if we will be holding a Town Hall then the form that the proposers have to fill out can be shortened.

Make the full proposals available to the whole campus two weeks prior to the town hall so everyone has a chance to see the details.

If we can allow members of the audience to ask questions it would perhaps be more purposeful.

Specific criteria to get funds to request information funds.

How about a town hall after ARFs are granted to debrief and better learn the priorities of our campus for next year.

Love the time limit. It forces people to stay focused on their request.

None. The AGOs particularly Nawa'a, kept the program moving quickly. One of the fastest meetings of any type. Great job!

Perhaps more command of the time. Some presenters seemed to be up there a long time due to “related” topics of concerns that were raised during their presentation. Other than that, it was a VERY good component to the process, and should remain a part of the evaluation method. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Need to provide clear instructions to all those who will present at the Town Hall.

The Process, or the manner in which allocation requests will be made should be clarified. There was confusion about whether allocation requests could include faculty positions, or limited to equipment and supplies. Maybe odd years versus even years could define the type of requests that can be submitted.

Guidelines for submitting all allocation requests should be clearer and more refined.

The term “Town Hall”, does not professionally nor accurately describe the presentations.