
PAGE: YOUR ROLE

1. What is your role at the college

| | Response Percent | Response Count |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Department Chair/Unit Head | 66.7% | 18 |
| Dean, Vice Chancellor, the Chancellor | 33.3% | 9 |
| | answered question | 27 |
| | skipped question | 1 |

2. Do you currently serve as a department chair?

| | Response Percent | Response Count |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Yes | 65.2% | 15 |
| No, but I formerly served as a department chair | 34.8% | 8 |
| | answered question | 23 |
| | skipped question | 5 |

PAGE: STANDARD I.A. - INSTITUTIONAL MISSION

3. How have you used the mission statement in planning for the college? (Choose all that apply)

| | Response Percent | Response Count |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| In the Long Range Development Planning process | 46.4% | 13 |
| In the Strategic Planning process | 64.3% | 18 |
| In the Tactical Planning process | 89.3% | 25 |
| In Annual Review of Program Data | 60.7% | 17 |
| In Three-Year Comprehensive Program Review | 50.0% | 14 |
| In internal budget planning | 46.4% | 13 |
| In external grant application planning | 50.0% | 14 |
| I have not used it | 3.6% | 1 |
| | Other uses (please specify) | 3 |
| | <small>Show replies</small> | |

answered question 28
 skipped question 0

4. In what other ways have you used the mission statement? (Choose all that apply)

| | Response Percent | Response Count |
|--|------------------|----------------|
| To develop or modify department/unit goals | 66.7% | 18 |
| To develop improvement strategies | 70.4% | 19 |
| To create or modify criteria to evaluate our department/unit | 48.1% | 13 |
| To refer to when making changes to curriculum | 37.0% | 10 |
| In reviewing tenure/promotion documents | 48.1% | 13 |
| To communicate to internal and/or external community | 63.0% | 17 |
| I have not made use of it | 11.1% | 3 |
| Other (please specify) <small>Show replies</small> | | 2 |
| answered question | | 27 |
| skipped question | | 1 |

PAGE: STANDARD I.B. - IMPROVING INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS

5. How aware are you of the campus' commitment to ongoing planning?

| | Response Percent | Response Count |
|-------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Very much | 78.6% | 22 |
| Somewhat | 17.9% | 5 |
| A little | 3.6% | 1 |
| Not at all | 0.0% | 0 |
| answered question | | 28 |
| skipped question | | 0 |

6. To what degree do you participate in campus ongoing planning?

| | Response Percent | Response Count |
|--|------------------|----------------|
|--|------------------|----------------|

| | Percent | Count |
|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| To a great degree | 60.7% | 17 |
| To some degree | 21.4% | 6 |
| A little | 14.3% | 4 |
| Not at all | 3.6% | 1 |
| | answered question | 28 |
| | skipped question | 0 |

7. How often do you allocate time for planning in your department/unit?

| | Response Percent | Response Count |
|------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Very often | 28.6% | 8 |
| Often | 53.6% | 15 |
| Sometimes | 10.7% | 3 |
| Rarely | 7.1% | 2 |
| Never | 0.0% | 0 |
| | answered question | 28 |
| | skipped question | 0 |

8. Rate the importance of planning in your primary duties?

| | Response Percent | Response Count |
|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Extremely important | 59.3% | 16 |
| Very important | 18.5% | 5 |
| Moderately important | 14.8% | 4 |
| Slightly important | 7.4% | 2 |
| Not at all important | 0.0% | 0 |
| | answered question | 27 |
| | skipped question | 1 |

9. How effective is the planning process in your department/unit?

| | Response Percent | Response Count |
|---------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Extremely effective | 21.4% | 6 |

| | | |
|--------------------------|-------|-----------|
| Very effective | 28.6% | 8 |
| Somewhat effective | 39.3% | 11 |
| A little effective | 10.7% | 3 |
| Not at all effective | 0.0% | 0 |
| answered question | | 28 |
| skipped question | | 0 |

10. UH System Website

| | Very satisfactory | Somewhat satisfactory | Neither satisfactory nor unsatisfactory | Somewhat unsatisfactory | Very unsatisfactory | Did not use/NA | Response Count |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Accessibility | 32.1% (9) | 53.6% (15) | 0.0% (0) | 7.1% (2) | 3.6% (1) | 3.6% (1) | 28 |
| Accuracy | 25.9% (7) | 48.1% (13) | 11.1% (3) | 7.4% (2) | 3.7% (1) | 3.7% (1) | 27 |
| Comprehensibility | 29.6% (8) | 51.9% (14) | 7.4% (2) | 3.7% (1) | 3.7% (1) | 3.7% (1) | 27 |
| answered question | | | | | | | 28 |
| skipped question | | | | | | | 0 |

11. Kapiolani Community College Website

| | Very satisfactory | Somewhat satisfactory | Neither satisfactory nor unsatisfactory | Somewhat unsatisfactory | Very unsatisfactory | Did not use/NA | Response Count |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Accessibility | 11.1% (3) | 40.7% (11) | 7.4% (2) | 25.9% (7) | 14.8% (4) | 0.0% (0) | 27 |
| Accuracy | 15.4% (4) | 34.6% (9) | 19.2% (5) | 15.4% (4) | 15.4% (4) | 0.0% (0) | 26 |
| Comprehensibility | 11.5% (3) | 42.3% (11) | 15.4% (4) | 26.9% (7) | 3.8% (1) | 0.0% (0) | 26 |
| answered question | | | | | | | 27 |
| skipped question | | | | | | | 1 |

12. Office for Institutional Effectiveness

| | Very satisfactory | Somewhat satisfactory | Neither satisfactory nor unsatisfactory | Somewhat unsatisfactory | Very unsatisfactory | Did not use/NA | Response Count |
|--|-------------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
|--|-------------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|

| | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Accessibility | 40.7% (11) | 29.6% (8) | 11.1% (3) | 7.4% (2) | 0.0% (0) | 11.1% (3) | 27 |
| Accuracy | 42.3% (11) | 30.8% (8) | 11.5% (3) | 3.8% (1) | 0.0% (0) | 11.5% (3) | 26 |
| Comprehensibility | 38.5% (10) | 34.6% (9) | 11.5% (3) | 3.8% (1) | 0.0% (0) | 11.5% (3) | 26 |
| | | | | | | answered question | 27 |
| | | | | | | skipped question | 1 |

13. Office for Institutional Effectiveness Website

| | Very satisfactory | Somewhat satisfactory | Neither satisfactory nor unsatisfactory | Somewhat unsatisfactory | Very unsatisfactory | Did not use/NA | Response Count |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Accessibility | 21.4% (6) | 46.4% (13) | 7.1% (2) | 0.0% (0) | 0.0% (0) | 25.0% (7) | 28 |
| Accuracy | 18.5% (5) | 44.4% (12) | 7.4% (2) | 3.7% (1) | 0.0% (0) | 25.9% (7) | 27 |
| Comprehensibility | 14.8% (4) | 51.9% (14) | 7.4% (2) | 0.0% (0) | 0.0% (0) | 25.9% (7) | 27 |
| | | | | | | answered question | 28 |
| | | | | | | skipped question | 0 |

14. STAR Data Metrix

| | Very satisfactory | Somewhat satisfactory | Neither satisfactory nor unsatisfactory | Somewhat unsatisfactory | Very unsatisfactory | Did not use/NA | Response Count |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Accessibility | 35.7% (10) | 21.4% (6) | 3.6% (1) | 14.3% (4) | 3.6% (1) | 21.4% (6) | 28 |
| Accuracy | 48.1% (13) | 11.1% (3) | 11.1% (3) | 7.4% (2) | 0.0% (0) | 22.2% (6) | 27 |
| Comprehensibility | 40.7% (11) | 14.8% (4) | 3.7% (1) | 14.8% (4) | 3.7% (1) | 22.2% (6) | 27 |
| | | | | | | answered question | 28 |
| | | | | | | skipped question | 0 |

15. QUILL, the college intranet

| | Very | Somewhat | Neither satisfactory | Somewhat | Very | Did not | Response |
|--|-------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
|--|-------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|

| | satisfactory | satisfactory | nor unsatisfactory | unsatisfactory | unsatisfactory | use/NA | Count |
|--------------------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|-----------|
| Accessibility | 22.2% (6) | 40.7% (11) | 7.4% (2) | 14.8% (4) | 14.8% (4) | 0.0% (0) | 27 |
| Accuracy | 14.8% (4) | 33.3% (9) | 25.9% (7) | 14.8% (4) | 11.1% (3) | 0.0% (0) | 27 |
| Comprehensibility | 14.8% (4) | 37.0% (10) | 11.1% (3) | 29.6% (8) | 7.4% (2) | 0.0% (0) | 27 |
| answered question | | | | | | | 27 |
| skipped question | | | | | | | 1 |

16. List other data information sources that you used for planning purposes:

| | Response Count |
|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Show replies | 6 |
| answered question | 6 |
| skipped question | 22 |

PAGE: STANDARD III AND IV

17. Please answer the following questions related to financial resources.

| | Yes | No | Response Count |
|--|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| 1) Do you understand your department/unit's budget? | 75.0% (21) | 25.0% (7) | 28 |
| 2) Do you understand how your department's budget was determined? | 60.7% (17) | 39.3% (11) | 28 |
| 3) Do you understand the connection between your department/unit's budget and your department/unit's Tactical Plan? | 71.4% (20) | 28.6% (8) | 28 |
| 4) Do you understand the connection between your department/unit's budget and the college's Strategic Plan? | 70.4% (19) | 29.6% (8) | 27 |
| 5) Were you involved directly with your department/unit's tactical plan budgeting process? | 70.4% (19) | 29.6% (8) | 27 |
| answered question | | | 28 |
| skipped question | | | 0 |

18. Are your department/unit's tactical plan meetings documented?

| | Response Percent | Response Count |
|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Yes | 60.7% | 17 |
| No | 35.7% | 10 |
| Did not work on tactical plan | 3.6% | 1 |
| answered question | | 28 |
| skipped question | | 0 |

19. Please check the option that most closely describes how your department/unit 2009-2012 tactical plan was developed? The 2009-2012 tactical plan was developed primarily by:

| | Response Percent | Response Count |
|---|------------------|----------------|
| a. the full-time members of your department/unit. | 28.6% | 8 |
| b. a committee of interested faculty and/or staff. | 7.1% | 2 |
| c. a committee appointed by the department chair. | 0.0% | 0 |
| d. the department/unit chair with input from all faculty and staff. | 32.1% | 9 |
| e. the department/unit chair with input from selected faculty and staff (such as discipline coordinators). | 21.4% | 6 |
| f. the dean and the department/unit chair with input from selected faculty and staff (such as discipline coordinators). | 0.0% | 0 |
| g. the department/unit chair alone. | 0.0% | 0 |
| h. the dean. | 3.6% | 1 |
| Other (please specify) <small>Show replies</small> | 7.1% | 2 |
| answered question | | 28 |
| skipped question | | 0 |

20. The 2012-2015 tactical plan for your department/unit will be updated primarily by:

| | Response Percent | Response Count |
|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| a. the full-time members of your | 21.4% | 6 |

| | | |
|---|-------------------|----|
| department/unit. | | |
| b. a committee of interested faculty and/or staff. | 7.1% | 2 |
| c. a committee appointed by the department/unit chair. | 7.1% | 2 |
| d. the department/unit chair with input from all faculty and staff. | 28.6% | 8 |
| e. the department/unit chair with input from selected faculty and staff (such as discipline coordinators). | 17.9% | 5 |
| f. the dean and the department/unit chair with input from selected faculty and staff (such as discipline coordinators). | 7.1% | 2 |
| g. the department/unit chair alone. | 0.0% | 0 |
| h. the dean. | 0.0% | 0 |
| Other (please specify) Show replies | 10.7% | 3 |
| | answered question | 28 |
| | skipped question | 0 |

PAGE: EVALUATION OF INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS

21. Please indicate the implementation stage of the processes for departmental evaluation, planning, and resource allocation based on the scale below:

0 - **No implementation**. There is no evidence that this practice has been implemented in your department/unit.

1 - **Under discussion**. This practice is being discussed or is in the planning stages.

2 - **Partial implementation**. This practice is being implemented in some areas of department/unit.

3 - **Full implementation**. This practice has been fully implemented across the department/unit.

Note: Most questions are adapted from Community College Inventory Survey

| | 0 No implementation | 1 Under discussion | 2 Partial implementation | 3 Full implementation | Response Count |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| a. My department/unit uses program review data or other institutional assessment data routinely to examine the strengths and weaknesses of our department/unit practices | 3.6% (1) | 7.1% (2) | 50.0% (14) | 39.3% (11) | 28 |
| b. The results of program reviews or other institutional assessment data are used routinely to inform plans for improvement in programs and services. | 0.0% (0) | 7.1% (2) | 46.4% (13) | 46.4% (13) | 28 |
| c. My department/unit | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|----|
| has a tactical plan that clearly and succinctly states its goals for future development. | 3.6% (1) | 10.7% (3) | 32.1% (9) | 53.6% (15) | 28 |
| d. The tactical plan is used to guide operational planning for each fiscal year. | 10.7% (3) | 14.3% (4) | 35.7% (10) | 39.3% (11) | 28 |
| e. Strategic focus is created through the identification of a small number of clear priorities for department/unit action. | 7.1% (2) | 17.9% (5) | 32.1% (9) | 42.9% (12) | 28 |
| f. My department/unit demonstrates its ability to stop doing things that are off-mission, low-priority, and/or ineffective in promoting student engagement, learning, and achievement. | 21.4% (6) | 10.7% (3) | 32.1% (9) | 35.7% (10) | 28 |
| g. Members of my department/unit participate extensively in the planning or priority-setting processes. | 11.1% (3) | 11.1% (3) | 48.1% (13) | 29.6% (8) | 27 |
| h. Resources are consistently allocated and re-allocated to address priorities identified through the planning process. | 17.9% (5) | 17.9% (5) | 42.9% (12) | 21.4% (6) | 28 |
| i. There are clearly defined learning competencies for each <u>course</u> in my department/unit. | 8.0% (2) | 8.0% (2) | 20.0% (5) | 64.0% (16) | 25 |
| j. There are clearly defined learning outcomes for the <u>programs</u> under my department/unit. | 3.8% (1) | 7.7% (2) | 19.2% (5) | 69.2% (18) | 26 |
| k. Our program level learning outcomes are aligned with the mission and values of the institution. | 3.7% (1) | 14.8% (4) | 25.9% (7) | 55.6% (15) | 27 |
| l. Our department/unit allocates appropriate resources (e.g., personnel, training, equipment, supplies) to support learning outcome assessment. | 7.4% (2) | 29.6% (8) | 29.6% (8) | 33.3% (9) | 27 |

skipped question

0